

# EU and Peace

In 410 Alaric the Goth sacked Rome and took over the running of the Western Empire and Europe plunged into the dark ages. The Goths had been pushed west by the Huns and had been 'immigrants' of the Empire for many decades.

Prussianism:

After the failure of Napoleon's Continental System (possibly the first attempt at a supra-national trading block), Zollverein was created in the late 1830s. It was brought about, in effect by commercial blackmail and military by Prussia.

Later the Prussian declaration of the 2nd Reich in 1870 began an expansionism West and led to the Franco-Prussian War, with France defeated.

Prussian efficiency set up the framework for a technocracy; a combination of science and bureaucracy. This included the science of warfare: Clausewitz.

Also the treatment of ordinary people as merely assets or drags on the economy. People were regarded as economic units to be organised by the state through regulation and pseudo-education/indoctrination.

This led Europe into two terrible Wars in the 20th century.

Fears of war had already led to secret planning to set up a new regime in Europe. Booker & North: The Great Deception:

The idea first conceived back in the 1920s by two senior officials of the League of Nations — Jean Monnet and Arthur Salter, a British civil servant — was of a United States of Europe, ruled by a government of unelected technocrats like themselves. Two things were anathema to them: nation states with the power of veto (which they had seen destroy the League of Nations) and any need to consult the wishes of the people in elections.

However after 2nd War the partition of eastern Europe led to a different (and possibly unexpected) stand-off. A balance of terror - NATO/Warsaw Pact. With the partition of Germany came a collapse of any idea of German eastern expansion which had been the aim in both the 2nd & 3rd Reichs.

The setting up of the Common Market followed the Prussian Zollverein pattern as espoused by Monnet and Salter. France wanted it to try and keep Germany under control (understandable). Germany could see opportunities for leading Europe.

The key [disaster] was the setting up of the European Commission, a supra-national power base: a group of elite technocrats as opposed to the far less dangerous, indeed beneficial, concept of the Council of ministers which is intra-national: Few realise that since inception there has been a war between these two bodies, vying for control. At the moment, and indeed for some time control is in the hands the supra-national technocrats of Brussels. Anyone who becomes a Commissioner must swear an oath of absolute allegiance to the Commission [overriding any

national oath - thus making them traitors to their own nation] or works for them must never speak ill of the Project on pain of losing their pension.

Germany was reunited in 1990, an event that Margaret Thatcher considered, perhaps instinctively, as potentially dangerous.

From then on Germany's leaders began to feel the resurgence of the older Germanic spirit' of efficiency and conquest.

The sense of German Destiny received a huge boost through unification: Here are some statements by German leaders which indicate this:

Chancellor Kohl has dominance and destiny at the forefront of his thinking. He has stated:

The future will belong to the Germans ... when we build the house of Europe ... In the next two years we will make the process of European integration irreversible. This is a really big battle, but it is worth the fight.'

The leader of the opposition party, Oskar Lafontaine, also asserts:

The United States of Europe has been the aim of the Social Democratic Party all the time.'

A CDU party document stated

Never again must there be a destabilizing vacuum of power in central Europe. If European integration were not to progress, Germany might be called upon, or tempted by its own security constraints, to try to effect the stabilization (a word replete with unpleasant historical echoes) on its own, and in the traditional way.'

The German Foreign Minister, Hans-Dietrich Genscher, was able to claim at the time:

The more European our foreign policy is, the more national it is.' For Germans, pooling sovereignty' has been a means of increasing their national sovereignty. It is, therefore, hard for them to understand the reticence in other member states towards furthering the process of integration.

The desire to expand east has been in the German psyche for centuries:

Now they could begin a programme of eastward expansion and influence, under the cloak of the EU, which they essentially controlled. We saw:

The Balkans crisis

Then the expansion east after the collapse of the Soviet Union where the EU reneged on a promise made to Gorbachev NOT to expand east.

More recently:

Ukraine

Where the EU deposed a democratically elected president: Viktor Yanukovich and replaced him with a puppet president who is supported by some very nasty fascist groupings.

In case there could be any doubt about this aggression, Baroness Ashton, then the rather naïve High Foreign Representative of the EU, was actually present in Maidan Square during the rioting.

#### The EU army

The thrust towards the militarisation of the EU with a European Army promoted by Germany is a threat to peace. It was former Commission President Jacques Delors who stated "The EU needs a European Army to fight the resources wars of the 21st Century". There is an EU Common Security and Defence Policy and an armed European Gendarmerie Force.

EU troops have already fought in Africa e.g. Congo.

#### The Euro

is designed as a currency of debt and debt enslaves nations. With Germany putting the screws on the poorer nations of the EU, replacing elected governments with a troika of dictators in Greece and Italy, and in Portugal denying a democratically elected government the right to rule.

The Schengen agreement area for free movement of people has all but been abandoned.

#### Migrant Crisis

It is Germany which has in effect generated the Migrant crisis by its apparently open offer.

It is now forcing its own population to take in refugees/migrants: villages in Germany suddenly are ending dozens of young men - few of them Syrians - dumped in their village and required to be housed. Merkel is now demanding the same of other EU nations who are furious at Germany's 'largesse' at their expense.

For sixty years we have been pouring cement into a supposedly dormant volcano, thinking that by so doing we can keep the lid on things.

An eruption I fear is not far away in Europe. Remember Alaric the Goth?

We must leave as soon as possible or we may find ourselves inside a new European civil war.