

Reforms in Global Governance and Democracy: *for a Just, Peaceful and sustainable World*

An article for compilation on
'Reforms in Governance'
for
Indian Institute of Public Administration

January 2010

VIJAY MEHTA
President, VM Centre for Peace;
Founding trustee, Fortune Forum Charity and
Chair, Action for UN Renewal

Vijay Mehta
vijay@vmpeace.org

Contents

1. Introduction
2. The present global crisis of international institutions
3. Pitfalls of world institutions in governance
4. Strengthening the existing institutions through reforms
5. Forming new institutions, frameworks and initiatives to meet new challenges
6. Recommendations for effective global governance and democracy
7. Conclusion

Introduction

International institutions are not working as is evident from the world sliding into crisis after crisis. In this dire situation, what can be done for these institutions to put their house in order? Can the UN and Bretton Woods institutions (IMF, World Bank), and the EU, and WTO meet the huge challenges of the 21st century?

The present global crisis of international institutions

The world security situation is more dangerous now than during the Cold War. Several recent developments are a testament to that. The continuous violence in Pakistan and Afghanistan, tensions with Iran and the continued threat from terrorist organisations are a few examples. The humanitarian situation in the Gaza, Darfur, Burma, Sri Lanka, and Congo is getting worse. The failure of the international community to enforce the 'responsibility to protect' doctrine in Darfur and the situation in dealing with detainees of Guantanamo Bay has been nothing less than shambolic.

The global system for finance, economic growth and development have collapsed, with food prices rocketing, leaving millions on the brink of starvation. The global warming and climate change crisis has put the planet in peril.

In the backdrop of the above situation what should be the role of global governance, the United Nations and Bretton Woods institutions for meeting the huge challenges of today. It is fair to say that India, a country in the middle of huge, transformative process, faces many governance problems of its own, from extreme poverty and the threat to terrorism to corruption and unemployment. It is in need of governance reforms to be implemented as a matter of urgency. However, in this article, we are primarily focussing on the governance challenges and reforms of world institutions which India also needs to take heed of.

Pitfalls of world institutions in governance

Many of today's international institutions were created at the end of World War II, more than 60 years ago. Since then they have responded in many significant ways to the challenges arising during the second half of the 20th century, including decolonisation, the end of the cold war, global security, environmental threats, and global poverty. Even though many new global and regional organisations were added since 1945 - when the United Nations was created and the Bretton Woods organisations opened their doors - very little has been altered in the basic structure of these global institutions.

Global institutions are not working well individually and as a group. For example, the global institutions at the core of the international system, such as the United Nations, the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank and the G8/G20 Summit are, to varying degrees fragmented, unrepresentative and ineffective, and generally suffer from an corrosive decline in their legitimacy. They are increasingly fragile and unable to address the global challenges of the 21st century.

NATO

For, example, there are organisations like NATO, a relic of the Cold War period, who, after the collapse of the Warsaw Pact, is looking for a reason to exist. It is called the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, yet it is engaged in military action in places like Afghanistan serving mainly US interests.

What is global governance?

Global governance is about multilateralist solutions to today's threats and challenges. It is about international cooperation and stability. It is about holistic approaches to interrelated world problems along with fusion of idealism and practicality. Creating effective global governance is a vision which society can cultivate by tolerance, liberty, justice, equity, and non-violence. The principles on which Global Governance must be based are: transparency, accountability, and respect for democratic values.

Global governance system is composed of national governments, international organizations such as the United Nations, nongovernmental organizations, transnational corporations, and technologically-driven arrangements such as the international currency exchange that govern and in many ways change the rules for governments. The mass media have created a "global theatre" that is also inescapably a part of this system.

It is therefore extensive and multilayered; extensive because it can embrace pretty well any challenge facing the global community. The peoples of the world are becoming interconnected and interlinked and therefore mutually concerned. This can be climate change, human rights; the environment, operation of financial markets, or the health of national economies; it is a case of "what affects one can affect all."

A healthy form of global governance is that its implementation must be by popular consent, and yet it must also be consented to and implemented by nation-states.

For example, there is a small selected body that has become like one global institution, the G8 (France, United Kingdom, Germany, USA, Japan, Italy Canada and Russia) or G20 initially concentrating on economic matters, now in a sense representative of the world community, and yet playing a powerful role in guiding the response to international challenges.

In a report by the Commission on Global Governance entitled, "*Foundation for Global Governance*" the Commission is of the belief that the world is now ready to accept a "global civic ethic" based on "a set of core values that can unite people of all cultural, political, religious, or philosophical backgrounds." This belief is reinforced by another belief: "that governance should be underpinned by democracy at all levels and ultimately by the rule of enforceable law."

The report continues, "We believe that all humanity could uphold the core values of respect for life, liberty, justice and equity, mutual respect, caring, and integrity." Similarly, the United Nations, World Bank and other international organizations, various regimes, coalitions of interested nations and individual nations when they act globally to address to various issues that emerge beyond national borders, such as development, the environment, human rights, infectious diseases and international terrorism are part of global governance.

However, the world institutions of today are slow to react and bureaucratic. They are in need of radical reforms if they are to be more effective and relevant to the present realities. The leaders and governments of the world are pursuing relentlessly their own national agenda to the detriment of the planet.

Clearly, our present system of global governance is very poorly equipped to handle the challenges of the global agenda. And it is not hard to see why. "Short-termism" prevails as our public and corporate leaders face an average tenure of less than five years. National interest dominates to the detriment of global interest in an antiquated system based on national sovereignty. Existing institutions tend to be compartmentalized with separate organizations for security, health, labour, environment and trade and are thus ill-equipped to address the interrelated challenges of the 21st century.

The United Nations and other international organisations reflect a previous world order which is out of step with today's challenges and priorities. The G8, the UN Security Council and other institutions require new approaches and structures to deal with the realities of the post-WWII world in which developing economies wield more influence and power.

The end of the Cold War, the expansion of the European Union and the rapid economic rise of developing countries such as China, India, Brazil and Mexico mean that the international organisations dealing with broad governance issues no longer include important players.

Future Outlook of the World

Global economic balances, are shifting fast in all domains- manufacturing, trading, and financial with emerging giants China and India tilting the balance. Both of these countries are developing at an unprecedented scale and will leave behind the economies of America and any individual European country. There will be a big struggle for getting oil, water and skilled labour. As they become more wealthy and powerful, they will react differently to world events by enforcing Asian values on democracy, freedom and rule of law.

With unprecedented development in information and technology, terrorists can develop or perhaps able to buy weapons of mass destruction and hold countries to ransom. Same is true of rogue governments, if they get their hands on nukes, they could create mayhem and chaos on a large scale. However, the west and the north will be pushing for global non-proliferation and containment of terrorism. The influence of America, European Union, Japan, and Russia will in the next 10-15 years will be gradually diminishing on world affairs.

The influence of different faiths and religions will gradually increase by a religious renewal especially in the Muslim world. If this influence is wrongly used, it can breed extremists and fundamentalists who might use tactics to contain powerful countries.

Strengthening the existing institutions through reforms

In the backdrop of the above situation how a reformed, effective United Nations and Bretton Woods institutions can play a pivotal role for seeking solutions to the major problems of today?

I am going to list some of the essential reforms of the UN should incorporate:

1. Diminish the power of the veto which the five permanent members of Security Council (SC) enjoy —which puts all major reforms under the control of the existing P5
2. Widen the membership of the Security Council to make it more representative, democratic, transparent and accountable.
3. Change the way in which the SC orders military action in order to control the process.
4. Work for General Assembly regaining its powers.
5. A new mechanism to fund the UN so that it is not dependent on the whim of a few powerful countries
6. Develop the 'responsibility to protect', a concept supported by the discussions at the UN General Assembly in September 2005 for dealing with situations like Darfur, Sudan and the Democratic Republic of Congo
7. Have wide ranging peacekeeping reforms which should include:
 - Using preventative diplomacy, dialogue and peaceful conflict resolution as opposed to military intervention
 - Continuing the work on general and complete disarmament
 - Promoting a culture of peace, reconciliation and non-violence as a vision of future peacekeeping including the formation of a rapid reaction peace service
 - Dealing with violations of human rights as a precondition for peacekeeping
 - Convening a World Summit on disarmament, non-proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and combating terrorism.

If the Security Council enlargement and fair representation, including giving more powers to the General Assembly, is not achieved, then the influence of the P5 will be diluted in due course. The increased strength and influence of countries like India, Brazil, Japan and Germany will become strong, diminishing the importance of the veto power of the P5.

Besides the UN reforms, there is urgent need to reform the Bretton Woods institutions which has led to decades of underinvestment in health, education, social welfare in developing countries which are poorly prepared to manage the impact of financial crisis head on. A successful G20 means hearing the voices of those most harmed by the financial disaster - the poor and vulnerable. They can only be heard if the Bretton Woods institutions are significantly reformed to include voting rights for nations and citizens who will be most affected by the policy decisions made.

We need to see a comprehensive reform of the international financial institutions to make them more representative, effective and responsive to global challenges. A shared determination to secure the successful conclusion to the Doha Development Agenda would boost confidence and trade, contribute to reform of world agriculture markets, and help to subvert protectionist sentiment.

Forming new institutions, frameworks and initiatives to meet new challenges

As the financial meltdown starts to bite, the effects of the recession are going to be felt in areas which are already in a state of neglect. For this reason we need the following new institutions which can face the challenges of today.

- A global institution for poverty reduction, development and completion of Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The brunt of the global financial meltdown is accelerating at a fast rate and it will affect the poorest very badly. It might take hundreds of billions of dollars to protect nations from the worst of the slump and for the completion of the MDG's.
- A global initiative for combating terrorism. This should include the closing of the military detention facility at Guantanamo Bay, renouncing torture, withdrawing forces from Iraq, and restarting the Middle East peace process. Furthermore, it should be complemented by more concerted, better coordinated, and more holistic approaches to building counterterrorism capacities and partnerships around the world.
- A global institution for mitigation and containment of climate change. If we don't address it, we can expect major destabilisation, an increase in rioting and potentially significant problems with international migration, as people move out to avoid food and water shortages. It will unleash public unrest, cross border conflicts, and mass migration.
- A global institution for reduction of military spending, disarming nuclear weapons, small arms and reducing the incidence of wars and violence. The concept of security through military might is a flawed one and has been refuted by the history of the last 60 years.
- New regional organisations on the model of European Union in different parts of the world in Asia, Africa, Latin America would be an excellent framework for regional governance. For all of its weaknesses and defects, the EU has largely been successful and remains a model to be emulated.
- To set up a global institution to coordinate arrangements on exchange rates that would end the monetary chaos as countries like Estonia, Lithuania and Romania are under serious threat of economic meltdown. The idea is to link several key currencies through exchange rates that are in turn adjusted to inflation rates in each country. Other currencies would be pegged to one or a group of these key currencies. By doing so, it will stabilise exchange rates by direct and coordinated intervention in order to confront the next wave of crisis which threaten to bring down whole economies of many countries.
- A new framework to establish a relationship between civil society and global institutions. There is a role for global civil society and institutions to make important contributions to global policymaking, particularly on issues of human rights, development and the environment. The civil society expertise is underused and the consulting mechanisms with global institutions are inadequate, especially in the completion of the MDGs.

For global governance and countering trans-national threats including terrorism, climate change, responding to violent conflicts and proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction, it's essential that we have the new institutions by which we can work together for the common progress of humankind.

Recommendations for effective global governance and democracy

The way forward for global institutions is to tackle new security threats and violence, promoting disarmament and human rights, building a global rule of law and order. It should also manage environmental degradation, emergencies and disasters, clash of religion and culture, unrestrained tide of globalisation. The implementation and completion of millennium development goal should be a top priority. Most importantly the international community has the moral obligation and duty to control and intervene in countries if they are sliding into chaos lawlessness, violence and unable to protect its citizens from rape, murder and killings. The international community should also build on some of the successes of the summit of world leaders in New York in September 2005.

We should find holistic solutions to all the interrelated issues and taking responsibility to deal collectively and have a multilateral approach in dealing with threats and challenges of today.

- The international community needs to link the agenda of development, environment and disarmament together by building partnerships at national and global level.
- The international community should work towards phasing the elimination of nuclear weapons. For decades nuclear non-proliferation treaty has saved the world from extinction. We should ensure and cooperate with other countries to maintain the nuclear disarmament and restrict the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. In a globalised world, where fundamentalism is rife, where there is a clash of culture and civilization, we need discussion and dialogue leading to inter-cultural and inter-religious harmony.
- In the sphere of aid, we need to fight against poverty and debt relief,
- Promote the work of peace building commission to help build countries emerging from violence and conflicts.
- Promote the work of human rights council for dealing more objectively and credibly with human rights violations.
- A dialogue and understanding among civilizations, cultures, and religions can pave a way for safer and brighter future.
- To make reducing the global incidence of wars and military spending a new Millennium Development Goal (MDG). War retards development, but conversely development retards war. Around 50% of the conflicts of the past 20 years have reoccurred within five years of the peace agreements. Getting rid of war is not a utopian dream. There already exists in the world large regions for example, European Union, within which war is inconceivable.
- To work towards using resources for peaceful and environmentally sustainable purposes. At present the global arms trade, and its accompanying glut of military spending, continues to represent the single most significant perversion of worldwide priorities known today. For the sixth year military spending rose to the trillion dollar mark while billions of people who never see more than \$1 or \$2 a day are held hostage to unconscionable poverty.
- To make 21st century about governments giving power to the people. A united civil society should use its strength for stopping politicians using their power unilaterally in violation of law and international treaties.

- To work for promoting democracy and multilateralism which paired with rule of law can deliver civil liberty, economic opportunity, and security which can change life in a positive way.
- For strengthening democratic institutions and development of pluralistic media, civil society should increase popular participation and ensure that people are able to exercise their democratic rights.
- Good governance at the national level must be matched by the commitment to practice good governance at the international level, to establish a dynamic and enabling international economic environment.
- It is very important to introduce universal education and the importance of spreading ideas which can be very influential in disseminating knowledge for everybody's use for nurturing a globalised information and knowledge economy and society.

Conclusion

In order to create legitimate global institutions, multiple goals need to be achieved. First, the institutions must be representative including the active participation of civil society. Second, the institutions need to be effective. Third, collectively the international institutions need to serve as an effective global governance system. Fourthly, the international institutions should offer opportunities for national and international leaders to forge coalitions for action and reform. Finally, countries have to forgo their narrow agenda for working through the global institutions in cooperation for the good of the planet.

Some of the examples of good global governance can be listed as the newly formed International Criminal Court, Kyoto protocol and MDGs where the governments of the world, NGOs and civil society have worked together for achieving certain common codes of conduct under which some of the challenges and threats including prosecution for crimes against humanity, protecting the environment and helping the poor to fight HIV AIDs and poverty can be implemented globally.

This opens the door for international organisations (the UN, IMF, World Bank, the EU, and the Commonwealth) to get their act together and perform. India, in its transformative stage, has an extraordinary opportunity to pursue and follow the above reforms, a chance which only comes a few times in the history of a nation. The example which comes to mind is the end of the Cold War, when many expected a peace dividend which never materialised. Let us not squander this golden opportunity of pursuing a path of multilateralism, including bringing political, democratic, governance reforms for achieving a peaceful society based on justice and the rule of law.

Notes

The following publications were consulted and excerpts have been taken from them during the writing of this article:

- 1) The Guardian, 'After a flurry of early activity, the Obama doctrine is taking shape,' 11 March 2009
- 2) The Guardian (Letters), 'Global Poor must be the G20 Priority,' 13 March 2009
- 3) Commission on Global Governance, 'Foundation of Global Governance.'
To view report see <http://www.libertymatters.org/globalgovernance.htm>
- 4) For figures on global military expenditure see Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI). "Recent Trends in Military Expenditures" 2004. http://web.sipri.org/contents/milap/milex/mex_trends.html
- 5) Talk by Vijay Mehta at Royal Over Seas Club, Scotland.
'Reforming the UN for the 21st Century.' 8th December 2009
- 6) Talk by Vijay Mehta at House of Lords, London.
'World Security, Global Governance and the role of the UN.' 29th October 2009
www.vmpeace.org

The full version of this speech can be downloaded from:

- Action for UN Renewal www.action-for-un-renewal.org.uk
- VM Centre for Peace www.vmpeace.org

Vijay Mehta is president of VM Centre for Peace www.vmpeace.org , Founding Trustee of Fortune Forum Charity www.fortuneforum.org , Chair of Action for UN Renewal www.action-for-un-renewal.org.uk and co-Chair of World Disarmament Campaign. He is an author, a champion for truth and global activist for peace, development, human rights and environment. Some of his notable books are The Fortune Forum Summit: For a Sustainable Future, Arms No More, and The United Nations and Its Future in the 21st Century.

His latest book is on Global Warming and is called 'Climate Change IQ,' which is available to download free of charge in electronic form from the website www.climatechange365.co.uk

He along with his daughter, Renu Mehta, founder of Fortune Forum charity held three summits in London in 2006, 2007 and 2008. The summits raised over a million pounds for charity and attracted a worldwide audience of 1.3 billion people (one fifth of humanity) including print and media coverage. The keynote speakers for the first and second summit were Bill Clinton, former US President and Al Gore, former US vice-President, and recipient of the Nobel Peace Prize 2007.

Vijay Mehta has appeared in various TV programmes including BBC World, Press TV, Ajtak-24 hour Indian news channel, and Think Peace documentary, Canada, among others. The Sunday Times, Independent, Observer, Irish Times and Guardian newspapers, among other journals have written about him. His life is devoted to the service of peace, humanity and our planet